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## Introduction

- In this topic, we will
- Review the idea of using bisection for finding a minimum
- Describe the idea of trisection
- Consider increasing efficiency by halving the number of function evaluations
- Introduce the golden ratio and the reciprocal thereof
- Look at an implementation
- Give an example


## Bracketed methods

- Suppose you are aware there is a unique local minimum on an interval [ $a_{0}, b_{0}$ ]
- We will develop a bracketed technique that attempts to reduce the width of the interval


## Midpoint

- Suppose we know there is a minimum on the interval $\left[a_{k}, b_{k}\right]$
- Suppose we mimic the bisection method and calculate the midpoint:

$$
m_{k} \leftarrow \frac{a_{k}+b_{k}}{2}
$$

- Does $f\left(m_{k}\right)$ allow us to reduce the width of the interval?



## Trisection

- Suppose, instead, we trisect the interval:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \ell_{k} \leftarrow \frac{2 a_{k}+b_{k}}{3}=b_{k}-\frac{2}{3}\left(b_{k}-a_{k}\right) \\
& r_{k} \leftarrow \frac{a_{k}+2 b_{k}}{3}=a_{k}+\frac{2}{3}\left(b_{k}-a_{k}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$



## Trisection

- Consequently,
- If $f\left(\ell_{k}\right)<f\left(r_{k}\right)$, we can restrict the minimum to [ $a_{k}, r_{k}$ ]
- Thus, set $a_{k+1} \leftarrow a_{k}$ and $b_{k+1} \leftarrow r_{k}$
- If $f\left(\ell_{k}\right)>f\left(r_{k}\right)$, we can restrict the minimum to $\left[\ell_{k}, b_{k}\right]$
- Thus, set $a_{k+1} \leftarrow \ell_{k}$ and $b_{k+1} \leftarrow b_{k}$



## Trisection

- Can we do better?
- In both these images, our next interval is $\left[a_{k}, r_{k}\right]$



## Trisection

- Thus, with each step,
- We reduce the width of the interval by a factor of $2 / 3$
- We require two function evaluations
- Function evaluations are likely the most expensive operation
- Can we reduce the work required?


## Trisection

- By trisecting the interval,
- The point $\ell_{k}$ is dead center of the interval $\left[a_{k}, r_{k}\right]$



## Fifths

- Let's divide the interval into fifths:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \ell_{k} \leftarrow \frac{3 a_{k}+2 b_{k}}{5}=b_{k}-\frac{3}{5}\left(b_{k}-a_{k}\right) \\
& r_{k} \leftarrow \frac{2 a_{k}+3 b_{k}}{5}=a_{k}+\frac{3}{5}\left(b_{k}-a_{k}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$



## Fifths

- Having divided the interval into fifths:



## Golden ratio

- Can we choose a scaling factor so that

$$
r_{k+1}=\ell_{k} ?
$$

- Let's choose a scaling factor $s$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \ell_{k} \leftarrow b_{k}-s\left(b_{k}-a_{k}\right) \\
& r_{k} \leftarrow a_{k}+s\left(b_{k}-a_{k}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

- Suppose $b_{k+1} \leftarrow r_{k}$

$$
\begin{gathered}
r_{k+1} \leftarrow a_{k+1}+s\left(b_{k+1}-a_{k+1}\right) \\
=a_{k}+s\left(s\left(b_{k}-a_{k}\right)\right)=a_{k}+s^{2}\left(b_{k}-a_{k}\right) \\
b_{k}-s\left(b_{k}-a_{k}\right)=a_{k}+s^{2}\left(b_{k}-a_{k}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

## Golden ratio

- Thus, if $r_{k+1}=\ell_{k}$

$$
b_{k}-s\left(b_{k}-a_{k}\right)=a_{k}+s^{2}\left(b_{k}-a_{k}\right)
$$

- Expand this out:

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 & =s^{2}\left(b_{k}-a_{k}\right)+s\left(b_{k}-a_{k}\right)+\left(a_{k}-b_{k}\right) \\
& =\left(b_{k}-a_{k}\right)\left(s^{2}+s-1\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

- Thus, we have:

$$
s=\frac{-1 \pm \sqrt{1^{2}-4 \cdot 1 \cdot(-1)}}{2 \cdot 1}=\frac{-1 \pm \sqrt{5}}{2}
$$

- Only one of these is positive:

$$
s=\frac{-1+\sqrt{5}}{2}=\frac{\sqrt{5}-1}{2} \approx 0.618034
$$

## Golden ratio

- Now we have that $r_{k+1}=\ell_{k}$ :



## Golden ratio

- This is called a golden-ratio search because

$$
\begin{gathered}
\phi=\frac{\sqrt{5}+1}{2} \approx 1.618034 \\
\frac{1}{\phi}=\frac{\sqrt{5}-1}{2} \approx 0.618034 \\
\frac{1}{\phi}=\phi-1 \\
\phi^{2}=\phi+1 \\
\phi^{3}=2 \phi+1
\end{gathered}
$$

## Golden ratio

- Thus, with each step,
- We reduce the width of the interval by a factor of $1 / \phi$
- We require only one function evaluation
- Note that with two function evaluations, we reduce the interval width to $1 / \phi^{2} \approx 0.381966$
- Recall when we did trisection, two function evaluations were required with each step
we reduce the interval width by $2 / 3 \approx 0.666667$
- Consequently, for the same number of function evaluations, we can converge must more quickly


## Implementation

```
std::pair<double, double> golden(
    double f( double x ), double a, double b,
    double eps_step, double eps_abs,
    unsigned int max_iterations
) {
    assert( a < b );
    double const INV_PHI{ (std::sqrt(5.0) - 1.0)/2.0 };
    double width{ (b - a)*INV_PHI };
    double x1{ b - width };
    double x2{ a + width };
    double f1{ f( x1 ) };
    double f2{ f( x2 ) };
    assert( std::isfinite( f1 ) && std::isfinite( f2 ) );
```


## Implementation

```
for ( unsigned int k{0}; k < max_iterations; ++k ) {
    width *= INV_PHI;
    if ( f1 < f2 ) {
        if ( (width < eps_step) && (std::abs( f1 - f2 ) < eps_abs) ) {
            return std::make_pair( x1, f1 );
        }
            b = x2;
        x2 = x1;
        f2 = f1;
        x1 = b - width;
        f1 = f( x1 );
        assert( std::isfinite( f1 ) );
    } else ...
```


## Implementation

```
} else if ( f2 < f1 ) {
    if ( (width < eps_step) && (std::abs( f1 - f2 ) < eps_abs) ) {
        return std::make_pair( x2, f2 );
    }
        a = x1;
        x1 = x2;
    f1 = f2;
    x2 = a + width;
    f2 = f( x2 );
    assert( std::isfinite( f2 ) );
} ...
```


## Implementation

```
    } else {
        assert( f1 == f2 );
        if ( width < eps_step ) {
            return std::make_pair( (x1 + x2)/2.0, f1 );
        }
        a = x1;
        b = x2;
        width *= INV_PHI*INV_PHI;
        x1 = b - width;
        f1 = f( x1 );
        x2 = a + width;
        f2 = f( x2 );
        assert( std::isfinite( f1 ) && std::isfinite( f2 ) );
    }
}
return std::make_pair( NAN, NAN );

\section*{Example}
- Find the first minimum of \(2 \mathrm{e}^{-2 x}-e^{-x}\) starting with [1, 2]
\begin{tabular}{lrrr}
1 & 1.3819660113 & 1.6180339887 & 2 \\
-0.0972088747 & -0.1249976480 & -0.1196517697 & -0.0987040055 \\
& & & \\
1 & 1.2360679775 & 1.3819660113 & 1.6180339887 \\
-0.0972088747 & -0.1217155585 & -0.1249976480 & -0.1196517697 \\
& & & \\
1.2360679775 & 1.3819660113 & 1.4721359550 & 1.6180339887 \\
-0.1217155585 & -0.1249976480 & -0.1241541532 & -0.1196517697 \\
& & & \\
1.2360679775 & 1.3262379212 & 1.3819660113 & 1.4721359550 \\
-0.1217155585 & -0.1245211032 & -0.1249976480 & -0.1241541532
\end{tabular}

\section*{Summary}
- Following this topic, you now
- Understand a bracketed method for finding a minimum
- Are aware of the usefulness of using the reciprocal of the golden ratio
- Have seen an implementation
- Have seen an example
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